

## Robert Normile



### Position

Paralegal

### Practices

- [Advocacy & Appeals & Miscarriages of Justice](#)
- [Criminal Defence & Serious Crimes](#)

### Office

London Warren Street

### Contact tel

02073888333

### Email

[normiler@tuckerssolicitors.com](mailto:normiler@tuckerssolicitors.com)

Robert is an experienced paralegal and Police Station adviser based in our London Warren Street Office.

Robert has 10 years' experience in criminal law and has acted for clients in all types of criminal cases including cases in the Police Station, Magistrates' and Crown Courts, Court of Appeal Criminal Division, Applications to the Criminal Cases Review Commission, Extradition proceedings, Confiscation and Enforcement proceedings (under the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002), Prison law cases and Applications for Judicial Review.

He has particular experience in advising prospective appellants who were given no or negative advice on appeal by their original legal team. Notable cases include:

R vs Bronson - application to the CCRC on behalf of appellant dubbed 'Britain's most dangerous/notorious prisoner' by the national press and subject of the eponymous 2009 film 'Bronson', challenging the safety of his 2000 conviction for false imprisonment (and criminal damage) for which a discretionary life sentence was imposed.

R vs AD and AP - Successful appeals for both appellants against sentences imposed for a conspiracy to commit arson. Sentences reduced from 7 years to 5 years and 6 months.

R vs PK - Application for leave to appeal against conviction for murder granted on the basis of a discovery of a Prosecution failure to disclose relevant information about a witness some years after the trial. In upholding conviction, the Full Court ruled that the information in question should have been disclosed at the time of the trial.

R vs La-Croix - successful appeal against sentence for commercial supply of Heroin and Cocaine following negative advice from original representatives. Sentence reduced from 7 years to 5 years and 4 months.

R vs R [2012] EWCA Crim 3090 - successful appeal against sentence for conspiracy to burgle (targeting high value motor vehicle during domestic burglaries) following negative advice from original representatives. Sentence reduced from 4 years to 3 years and 4 months.

R vs Whalley (Paul) [2012] EWCA Crim 2377 - Successful appeal against sentence for dwelling burglaries following refusal of leave by the Single Judge and successful renewal after the original representatives provided negative advice.